## Problem 1

(a) The observed indicates the growth rate is slower than the Deal-Grove model after $2000 \AA$ of oxide is grown. Even if we take the limit that growth rate is proportional (time) ${ }^{1 / 2}$, the oxide will be thicker than $4000 \AA$ after 4 hours of oxidation. The Si surface layer must have a faster oxide rate than the bulk of the wafer.

Conjecture 1: The processed Si wafer was oxidized first to an oxide thickness of $100 \AA$ and then have the oxide dissolved in HF. FALSE
Dopant segregation can enhance oxidation rate but the small oxide growth cannot change the surface layer dopant concentration due to dopant segregation.

Conjecture 2 : The processed Si wafer has a highly doped surface layer ( doping $>10^{19} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) which is less than 1000 Å thick. TRUE
The Si wafer has a highly doped surface layer ( $\mathrm{N}>10^{19} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) which is less than $1000 \AA$ thick (i.e., $0.46 \times$ $2000 \AA \approx 1000 \AA$ ). The underneath substrate is lightly doped. The Si wafer has a highly doped region underneath a lightly doped surface region. Oxidation rate is higher when the doping concentration is higher than $10^{19} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, mainly through the linear term $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{A}$. After this layer of highly doped Si is consumed, the growth rate slows down.

Conjecture 3 : The processed Si wafer has a thin layer of poly-Si layer on top surface. TRUE
Initial oxidation of poly-Si is very fast. After poly-Si is all consumed, the oxidation rates slows down.
(b) $\frac{\mathrm{SiO}_{2} \text { volume }}{\mathrm{Si} \text { volume }}=\frac{5 \times 10^{22}}{2.3 \times 10^{22}}=2.17$

Volume of sphere $\propto$ radius ${ }^{3}$ or $\frac{\text { radius of } \mathrm{SiO}_{2}}{\text { radius of } \mathrm{Si}}=(2.17)^{1 / 3}=1.29$
Therefore, radius of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ sphere $=1.29 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
(c) LOCOS Advantages :
(i) Self-aligned channel stop ; (ii) oxide topography more planar than opening an oxide window.

LOCOS Disadvantages: The "bird's beak" region wastes device area.
Problem 2 (a)

| Parameter | Electrical Channel Length L |
| :--- | :--- |
| Implant Dose $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| Substrate conc. $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}} \uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| Sidewall Angle $\theta \uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |
| Gate material changed from poly-Si to Tungsten | $\uparrow$ |
| Implant ions changed from Phosphorus to Arsenic <br> (same energy) | $\uparrow$ |

(b) Restore crystallinity of damage Si caused by ion bombradment

Position implanted dopants into substituitional sites of Si lattice so that the dopants gives "shallow" dnor or acceptor energy levels [ i.e. dopant activation]
(c) Tilt the crystal by about 7 degrees with respect to beam incidence direction plus wafer rotation to avoid axial and planar channeling
Pre-amorphise the Si substrate first by Si implanattion, followed by dopant implantation.

## Problem 3

(a)

Profile 1: $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}} \operatorname{erfc}\left[\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} / 2 \sqrt{\mathrm{Dt}}\right]=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}} \operatorname{erfc}\left[0.7 /(2 \times 0.1]=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}} \operatorname{erfc}[3.5]=10^{16} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right.$

$$
\text { Therefore } \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}=1.3 \times 10^{22 / \mathrm{cm}^{3}}[26 \% \text { boron }!!!]
$$

Profile 2: $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}=1 /\left\{\mathrm{q} \mu_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ or $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}=1 /\left(\mathrm{q} \mu_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)=3 \times 10^{20 / \mathrm{cm}^{3}}$
The solid solubility of boron in Si is less than $10^{21} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. Profile 1 is unrealistic. Profile 2 is a better approximation.
[Note: If you use the Irvin Curves for Profile 1 with $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}}=3.5$ ohm-um, the extrapolated surface concentration will also be in the $10^{22} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ range]

## (b)

During the initial stage of diffusion, the doped region is intrinsic ( $n=p$ ) so we won't expect to see any high concentration diffusion effect.

However, Boron diffuses faster than As and the near surface region becomes highly $n$-doped and the deeper region becomes p-doped. Since the net carrier concentration can still be higher than $n_{i}$. We will start to observed high concentration diffusion effects in both regions for longer diffusion times.

## Problen 4

(a) Let translational error be $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$.

The run in/out errors will contribute $+\delta$ x to A1 and $-\delta x$ to A2
The rotational errors will contribute $+\delta y$ to A1 and $-\delta y$ to A2
Therefore,
$\mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{t}}+\delta \mathrm{x}$
$x_{2}=x_{t}-\delta x$
$y_{1}=y_{t}+\delta y$
$y_{2}=y_{t}-\delta y$
Rearranging terms,
$\delta x=\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{2}($ run in/out error $)=(0.2-0.4) / 2=-0.1$ um [run-in error]
$\delta \mathrm{y}=\frac{\mathrm{y}_{1}-\mathrm{y}_{2}}{2}($ rotational error $)=(0.2-0.4) / 2=-0.1$ um or 2E-6 radians [ clockwise]
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}}{2}=(0.2+0.4) / 2=0.3 \mathrm{um}$
$y_{t}=\frac{y_{1}+y_{2}}{2}=(0.2+0.4) / 2=0.3 \mathrm{um}$
(d) $1_{m} \propto \lambda /$ NA. NA reduces by $2 \mathrm{X}, 1_{\mathrm{m}}=0.5 \mathrm{um} \times 2=1 \mathrm{um}$ $\mathrm{DOF} \propto \lambda / \mathrm{NA}^{2}$. NA reduces by $2 \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{DOF}=1 \mathrm{um} \mathrm{x} 4=4 \mathrm{um}$

