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INSTRUCTIONS:
1. Use the values of physical constants provided below.
2. SHOW YOUR WORK. (Make your methods clear to the grader!)
3. Clearly mark (underline or box) numeric answers. Specify the units on answers whenever appropriate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Constants</th>
<th>Properties of SiO₂ at 300K</th>
<th>Properties of Silicon at 300K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>electronic charge</td>
<td>q</td>
<td>1.6x10⁻¹⁹ C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>electron rest mass</td>
<td>m₀</td>
<td>9.1x10⁻³¹ kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(kT/q) ln(10) = 0.050 V at T = 300K

Electron and Hole Mobilities in Silicon at 300K

Field-Effect Mobilities in Si at 300K

(SCORE: 1 / 30
2 / 30
3 / 30
4 / 30
5 / 30
6 / 30
Total: 180)
Problem 1: Semiconductor Fundamentals [30 points]
Consider an uncompensated Si sample of length 0.5 mm, uniformly doped with boron \( N_A = 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), maintained under equilibrium conditions at \( T = 300 \text{K} \).

a) What are the electron and hole concentrations, \( n \) and \( p \)? [4 pts]

Since \( N_A > N_D \), this sample is \( p \)-type.

\[
P = N_A = 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}
\]

\[
n = \frac{n_i^2}{p} = \frac{(10^{10})^2}{10^{17}} = 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}
\]

b) Estimate the resistivity of this sample. [5 pts]

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{q \mu_n n + q \mu_p p} = \frac{1}{q \mu_p p} \quad \text{since} \ p \gg n
\]

From the plot on Page 1, \( \mu_p = 331 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V.s} \)

\[
\rho = \left[ \frac{1.6 \times 10^{-19}}{(331)(10^{17})} \right]^{-1} = \frac{1}{5} = 0.2 \ \Omega\cdot\text{cm}
\]

c) Given that the electron conductivity effective mass \( m_n^* \) is ~2\times10^{-31} \text{ kg}, estimate the average time between electron scattering events in this sample. Note that 1 kg cm²/Vis = 10⁻³ s. [5 pts]

\[
\mu_n = \frac{q \tau_{mn}}{m_n^*} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau_{mn} = \frac{\mu_n m_n^*}{q}
\]

From the plot on Page 1, \( \mu_n = 800 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V.s} \)

\[
\tau_{mn} = \frac{800 \cdot 2 \times 10^{-31}}{1.6 \times 10^{-19}} = \frac{1.6 \times 10^{-28}}{1.6 \times 10^{-19}} = 10^{-9} \left( 10^{-4} \text{s} \right) = 10^{-2} \text{s}
\]

\[
\tau_{mn} = 0.1 \text{ ps}
\]
d) Suppose a potential difference of 1 V is applied across the sample as shown below:

![Diagram of a sample with 1 Volt applied, N_A = 10^{17} cm^{-3}](image)

i) Sketch the non-equilibrium energy-band diagram on the plot below, showing $E_c$ & $E_v$ as a function of distance $x$. Indicate values for $E_c - E_v$ and the total amount of energy-band bending across the sample. **Illustrate electron drift and hole drift on your diagram.** [7 pts]

![Energy-band diagram with electron and hole drift](image)

ii) What are the hole and electron drift velocities? [6 pts]

\[ v_d = \mu E \]

\[ E = \frac{1V}{0.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{cm}} = 20 \text{ V/cm} \]

hole drift velocity \( v_{d,p} = (331 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V.s})(20 \text{ V/cm}) = \boxed{6620 \text{ cm/s}} \)

electron drift velocity \( v_{d,n} = 800 \cdot 20 = \boxed{16,000 \text{ cm/s}} \)

iii) How would the drift velocities change if the temperature were to be increased (e.g. to 100°C)? Explain briefly. [3 pts]

\( v_d \) would decrease because \( \mu \) would decrease due to increased phonon scattering.
Problem 2: Metal-Semiconductor Contact [30 points]

A Schottky diode formed on n-type Si at \( T = 300K \) yields the \( 1/C^2 \) vs. \( V_A \) plot shown below. (\( C \) is the small-signal capacitance per \( \text{cm}^2 \).)

\[
\frac{1}{C^2} = \frac{2}{qN_D \varepsilon_S} (V_{bi} - V_A) \rightarrow 0 \text{ at } V_A = V_{bi} \Rightarrow V_{bi} = 0.5V
\]

b) What is the doping concentration \( N_D \) in the Si? [6 pts]

\[
\text{Slope of curve} = - \frac{2}{qN_D \varepsilon_S} = - \frac{2 \times 10^{15}}{2} = -1.25 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ F}^{-1} \text{ V}^{-1}
\]

\[
N_D = \frac{2}{1.6 \times 10^{-19} (10^{-12})(1.25 \times 10^{15})} = \frac{2}{2 \times 10^{-16}} = 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}
\]

c) What is the Schottky barrier height \( \Phi_{bi} \)? [6 pts]

\[
\Phi_{bi} = qV_{bi} = (E_c - E_F)_FB = 0.5 eV
\]

\[
\Phi_{bi} = qV_{bi} + (E_c - E_F)_FB
\]

\[
= 0.5 eV + 0.2 eV
\]

\[
= 0.7 eV
\]

\[
E_F - E_i = kT \ln \left( \frac{n_i}{10^{10}} \right) = 6 kT \ln (10)
\]

\[
E_F - E_i = 6 \times 0.06 eV
\]

\[
E_i = 0.36 eV
\]

\[
(E_c - E_F)_FB = \frac{E_c}{2} - (E_F - E_i)
\]

\[
= 0.56 - 0.36 = 0.2 eV
\]
d) Draw the equilibrium energy-band diagram for the Schottky diode, showing $E_c$, $E_v$, and $E_F$ in the Si. Label $\Phi_{bn}$, $qV_{bi}$, and the depletion width $W$. (Numerical values are required.) [10 pts]

\[
W = \sqrt{\frac{2E_{Si}V_{bi}}{qN_0}} = \sqrt{\frac{2(10^{-12})(0.5)}{1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ (10^16)}}} = \sqrt{\frac{10^{-8}}{16}} = \frac{1}{4} \times 10^{-4} = 0.25 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}
\]

\[\Phi_{bn} = 0.7 \text{ eV} \]
\[E_{FM} \]
\[E_{C} \]
\[E_{F} \]
\[qV_{bi} = 0.5 \text{ eV} \]
\[E_{F} \]
\[W = 0.25 \mu m \]

e) Describe two approaches to achieve low specific contact resistivity ($\rho_c$) in a practical ohmic contact. [5 pts]

To achieve a practical ohmic contact, carriers must easily tunnel through the Schottky barrier. To allow for this, the Schottky barrier height should be lowered as much as possible (e.g. by appropriate choice of metal material) and the depletion width should be very small (less than 10 nm), which is achieved by heavily doping the semiconductor near/at the contact.
Problem 3 [30 points]
The energy-band diagram for a Si p-n+ junction diode maintained at 300K is shown below.

\[ kT \ln(10) = 0.06 \text{ eV} \]

\[ E_c \quad \text{---} \quad E_i \quad \text{---} \quad E_{ip} = 0.36 \text{ eV} \]

\[ (E_i - E_F)_{p-site} = kT \ln\left(\frac{N_A}{n_i}\right) \]

\[ 0.36 \text{ eV} = 6 \times kT \ln(10) = kT \ln\left(\frac{N_A}{n_i}\right) \]

\[ \Rightarrow N_A = 10^6 \times n_i = 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3} \]

(a) What is the built-in potential, \( V_{bi} \)? [4 pts]

\[ qV_{bi} = (E_i - E_F)_{p-site} + (E_F - E_i)_{n-site} \]

\[ = 0.36 \text{ eV} + \frac{1.12 \text{ eV}}{2} = 0.92 \text{ eV} \]

\[ V_{bi} = 0.92 \text{ V} \]

(b) What is the applied bias, \( V_A \)? [3 pts]

\[ \text{Total band bending} = q(V_{bi} - V_A) = 2 \text{ eV} \]

\[ \Rightarrow V_{bi} - V_A = 2 \text{ V} \]

\[ V_A = V_{bi} - 2 = 0.92 - 2 = -1.08 \text{ V} \]

(c) What is the small-signal junction capacitance (in units of Farads/cm²) at this bias? [6 pts]

\[ C_J = \frac{\varepsilon_0 e_i}{W} \]

\[ = \frac{10^{-12}}{0.5 \times 10^{-4}} \]

\[ = 2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ F/cm}^2 \]

\[ W = \sqrt{\frac{2 \varepsilon_0 (V_{bi} - V_A)}{qN_D}} \]

\[ = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 10^{-12} \times 2}{1.6 \times 10^{-19} \times 10^{16}}} = \sqrt{\frac{10^{-8}}{4}} = \frac{1}{2} \times 10^{-4} \]

\[ = 0.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm} \]
d) Sketch the electric field distribution in the diode, indicating the numerical value of the peak electric field. [8 pts]

\[ \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\text{peak}} W = V_{\text{bi}} - V_A \]

\[ \varepsilon_{\text{peak}} = \frac{2(V_{\text{bi}} - V_A)}{W} = \frac{2(2)}{0.5 \times 10^{-4}} = 8 \times 10^4 \text{ V/cm} \]

---

e) How does generation-recombination in the depletion region affect the diode current under this bias condition? Explain briefly. [5 pts]

Since the diode is reverse biased, \( p_n < n_i^2 \) in the depletion region.

Thus, there is net generation in the depletion region.

Generated holes are swept into the p-side
Generated electrons are swept into the n-side

\[ \therefore \text{ R-G increases the magnitude of the diode current.} \]

---

f) Suppose that the critical electric field for breakdown is \( \varepsilon_{\text{CR}} = 5 \times 10^5 \text{ V/cm} \). What is the dominant mechanism by which breakdown will occur, as the reverse bias is increased? Explain briefly. [4 pts]

\[ |\varepsilon_{\text{peak}}| < \varepsilon_{\text{crit}} \]

\[ \therefore |V_{BR}| > V_A \text{ so } W > 0.5 \mu \text{m at breakdown.} \]

Carriers cannot easily tunnel through such a wide depletion region, for the Zener breakdown mechanism to occur.

\[ \therefore \text{ Breakdown will occur by the avalanche (impact ionization) mechanism.} \]
Problem 4: Bipolar Junction Transistor [30 points]

a) Short-Answer Questions

i) The base width \( W_B \) is a critical parameter which affects BJT performance. Describe the \( W_B \) design tradeoff, by explaining why \( W_B \) should not be too small (give at least 1 reason) or too large (give at least 1 reason). [4 pts]

\[ W_B \text{ should not be too small to ensure} \]
\[ \bullet \text{large Early voltage (small base-width modulation effect)} \]
\[ \bullet \text{large punch-through voltage} \]

\[ W_B \text{ should not be too large to ensure} \]
\[ \bullet \text{high dc current gain (base transport factor \( \beta \))} \]
\[ \bullet \text{high } f_t \text{ (Short base transit time)} \]

ii) Describe qualitatively (without the use of any formulas or equations -- but perhaps with the aid of an energy band diagram) why the use of silicon-germanium (rather than Si) as a base material enhances the DC current gain of a Si npn BJT. [4 pts]

The energy bandgap is smaller for \( Si_{1-x}Ge_x \).

→ Barrier height for hole injection into the emitter is larger than the barrier height for electron injection into the base.

→ Emitter injection efficiency is improved

→ \( \beta_{dc} \) improves

iii) How can the minority carrier lifetime in the base be reduced? Why would this be desirable, for certain BJT applications? [4 pts]

\( \tau_B \) is reduced by increasing the density of trap states, \( N_T \). This is achieved in practice by incorporating impurities such as gold atoms in the base, which have associated allowed energy level near the midgap of Si. This is desirable if we want to improve the turn-off time for the BJT, i.e. improve switching speed.
b) The normalized excess minority carrier concentrations in the quasi-neutral regions of a pnp BJT maintained at \( T = 300\,\text{K} \) are shown in the figure below.

\[ \Delta n_E/n_{E0} \]

\[ \Delta n_C/n_{C0} = 0.5 \times 10^{10} \]

\[ \Delta p_B/p_{B0} = 10^{10} \]

\[ 0.16 \, \mu \text{m} \]

\[ x \]

i) What is the biasing mode of this transistor? Justify your answer. [3 pts]

Since the excess carrier concentrations at the edges of the depletion region for both the emitter junction and the collector junction are greater than zero, both junctions are forward biased. => This BJT is operating in saturation mode.

ii) Estimate the value of \( V_{EB} \). [3 pts]

(Recall that \( (kT/q)\ln(10) = 60 \, \text{mV} \))

\[ \frac{\Delta p_B}{p_{B0}} = e^{\frac{qV_{EB}}{kt}} - 1 = 10^{10} \Rightarrow e^{\frac{qV_{EB}}{kt}} \approx 10^{10} \]

\[ V_{EB} = \frac{kT}{q} \ln(10) = 0.6 \, \text{V} \]

iii) Estimate the collector current density \( J_c \) for this BJT when it is biased at the edge of saturation \( (V_{CB} = 0\,\text{V}, V_{EB} \text{ as shown above}) \), if \( N_B = 10^{18} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \) and \( D_B = 4 \, \text{cm}^2/\text{s} \). [6 pts]

\[ J_c = \frac{q}{w} \left( \frac{N_i^2}{N_B} \right) \frac{D_B}{w} \left( e^{\frac{qV_{EB}}{kt}} - 1 \right) = 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \left( \frac{10^{20}}{10^{18}} \right) \left( \frac{4}{0.16 \times 10^{-9}} \right) (10^{10}) \]

\[ = 40 \times 10^{-3} = 40 \, \text{mA/cm}^2 \]

c) Derive a simple \( I-V \) equation for an pnp BJT connected as a diode as shown below. \( I \) should be expressed only in terms of \( V_A \) and the Ebers-Moll parameters \( (\alpha_F, \alpha_R, I_{F0}, I_{R0}) \). [6 pts]

\[ I = I_E = I_{F0} \left( e^{\frac{qV_{EB}}{kt}} - 1 \right) - \alpha_R I_{R0} \left( e^{\frac{qV_{CE}}{kt}} - 1 \right) \]

\[ V_A = V_{EB} \]

\[ V_{CE} = 0 \Rightarrow \left( e^{\frac{qV_{CE}}{kt}} - 1 \right) = 0 \]

\[ \therefore I = I_{F0} \left( e^{\frac{qV_A}{kt}} - 1 \right) \]
Problem 5: Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor Capacitor [30 points]
The flat-band energy-band diagram for a p+ poly-Si gated capacitor of area $10^{-4}$ cm$^2$ and $T_{ox} = 3.45$ nm, maintained at $T = 300K$, is shown below:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{p+ poly-Si} & \text{SiO}_2 & \text{Si} \\
E_c - E_F = 0.24 \text{ eV} \\
E_F = E_c \\
q\phi_{ox} = 0.2 \text{ eV} \\
E_i - E_F = 0.24 \text{ eV}
\end{array}
\]

\[
E_F - E_V = \frac{E_g}{2} - 0.24 \text{ eV} \\
= \frac{0.12 \text{ eV}}{2} - 0.24 \text{ eV} \\
= 0.32 \text{ eV}
\]

a) What is the flatband voltage $V_{FB}$? [5 pts]

\[q V_{FB} \text{ is the difference between the Fermi level in the gate and the Fermi level in the semiconductor:}
\]

\[q V_{FB} = \Phi_M - \Phi_S - \frac{Q_F}{C_{ox}}
\]

\[= 5.15 - 4.83 - 0.2 = 0.12 \text{ eV}
\]

\[V_{FB} = 0.12 \text{ V}
\]

b) Calculate the oxide fixed charge density $Q_F$ (in units of C/cm$^2$). [5 pts]

The voltage drop across the oxide at flatband is due to the oxide charge.

\[\frac{Q_F}{C_{ox}} = 0.2 \text{ V}
\]

\[Q_F = 0.2 \times 10^{-6}
\]

\[= 2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ C/cm}^2
\]
e) Calculate (approximately) the threshold voltage, \( V_T \). [6 pts]

\[
V_T = V_{FB} + 2\Phi_F + \frac{Q_{dep}}{C_{ox}}
\]

\[= 0.12 + 2(0.24) + \frac{4 \times 10^{-9}}{10^{-6}}\]

\[= 0.6 \text{ V} \]

\[\Phi_F = \frac{E_S - E_F}{q} = 0.24 V = 4 \times 0.06 V\]

\[= \frac{kT}{q} \ln \left( \frac{N_A}{n_i} \right) = 4 \times \frac{kT}{q} \ln (10)\]

\[\Rightarrow \ln \left( \frac{N_A}{n_i} \right) = \ln (10^4)\]

\[N_A = 10^4 n_i = 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}\]

\[Q_{dep} = \sqrt{2e_S \frac{g}{N_A} (2\Phi_F)}\]

\[= \sqrt{2(10^{-12})(0.16 \times 10^{-19})(10^4)(0.48)}\]

\[= \sqrt{16 \times 10^{-40}} = 4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ C/cm}^2\]

\[C_{max} = AC_{ox} = 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2 \left( 10^{-6} \text{ F/cm}^2 \right)\]

\[= 10^{-10} \text{ F} = 100 \text{ pF}\]

\[C_{min} = A \times 4 \times 10^{-9} = 10^{-4} \times 4 \times 10^{-9} = 0.4 \text{ pF}\]

\[W_T = \sqrt{\frac{2e_S (2\Phi_F)}{g N}}\]

\[= \sqrt{\frac{2(10^{-12})(0.48)}{1.6 \times 10^{-19}(10^4)}}\]

\[= \sqrt{\frac{10^{-6}}{16}}\]

\[= \frac{1}{4} \times 10^{-3}\]

\[= 0.25 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}\]

d) Draw the high-frequency \( C-V \) curve for this capacitor, indicating the maximum and minimum capacitance values on your plot, as well as \( V_{FB} \) and \( V_T \) (consistent with your answers to parts (a) and (c), respectively). [9 pts]

\[C(pF)\]

\[100 \text{ pF}\]

\[A\]

\[C_{min}\]

\[V(V)\]

\[C_{dep}\]

\[\frac{1}{C_{min}} = \frac{1}{C_{ox}} + \frac{1}{C_{dep}}\]

\[= \frac{1}{10^{-6}} + \frac{1}{4 \times 10^{-9}} \approx \frac{1}{4 \times 10^{-9}}\]

\[C_{min} = A \times 4 \times 10^{-9} = 10^{-4} \times 4 \times 10^{-9} = 0.4 \text{ pF}\]

\[C_{max} = AC_{ox} = 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2 \left( 10^{-6} \text{ F/cm}^2 \right)\]

\[= 10^{-10} \text{ F} = 100 \text{ pF}\]

e) Indicate with a dashed line on your plot in part (d) how the \( C-V \) curve would change if the dopant concentration in the Si were to be increased significantly. (Consider the qualitative impact on \( V_{FB}, V_T, \) and \( C_{min} \).) [5 pts]

- \( V_{FB} \) would be more negative since \( E_S \uparrow \)
- \( V_T \) would increase since \( V_{FB}, \Phi_F, Q_{dep} \) all increase
- \( C_{min} \) would increase because \( W_T \downarrow \)
Problem 6: MOS Field-Effect Transistor [30 points]
a) In a certain CMOS technology, the electrical oxide thickness is $T_{oxide} = 5 \text{ nm}$ (so that $C_{oxide} = 6.9 \times 10^{-7} \text{ F/cm}^2$), the bulk-charge factor $m = 1.5$, and the threshold voltage of a long-channel MOSFET is $|V_T| = 0.5 \text{ V}$.

i) What is the average inversion-layer electron mobility in an n-channel MOSFET, for a gate bias $V_{GS} = 1.5 \text{ V}$? [4 pts]

Effective vertical electric field $E_{eff} = \frac{V_{As} + V_T + 0.2}{6T_{oxide}}$

$E_{eff} = \frac{1.5 + 0.5 + 0.2}{6 \times 5 \times 10^{-7}} = \frac{2.2 \text{ V}}{3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}} \approx 0.7 \text{ MV/cm}$

From plot on Page 1, $\mu_n, eff \approx 325 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V.s} \approx 300 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V.s}$

ii) Sketch the $I_{DS}$ vs. $V_{DS}$ characteristic for an n-channel MOSFET of channel width $W = 10 \mu\text{m}$, channel length $L = 10 \mu\text{m}$, and gate bias $V_{GS} = 1.5 \text{ V}$. Indicate the values of $V_{Dsat}$ and $I_{Dsat}$. [6 pts]

$I_{Dsat} = \frac{\mu_n, eff \cdot C_{ox} \cdot W}{2m} \cdot \frac{W}{L} \cdot (V_{As} - V_T)^2$

$\approx \frac{300 \cdot 6.9 \times 10^{-7}}{2 \cdot 1.5} \cdot \left( \frac{10}{10} \right) \cdot (1.5 - 0.5)^2 = 6.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{ A} = 69 \mu\text{A}$

$V_{Dsat} = \frac{V_A - V_T}{m} = \frac{1.5 - 1}{1.5} \approx 0.7 \text{ V}$

iii) For what channel lengths will the effect of velocity saturation be significant (i.e. resulting in a reduction in $I_{Dsat}$ by more than a factor of 2)? $v_{sat} = 8 \times 10^6 \text{ cm/s}$. [5 pts]

Velocity saturation will be significant when $E_{sat} L \leq \frac{V_{As} - V_T}{m} \approx 0.7 \text{ V}$

$E_{sat} L \leq \frac{0.7 \text{ V}}{5 \times 10^4 \text{ V/cm}} = 1.4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}$

$\Rightarrow L \leq 0.14 \mu\text{m}$
b) Indicate in the table below (by checking the appropriate box for each line) the effect of decreasing the effective oxide thickness ($T_{oxe}$) on the performance parameters of an n-channel MOSFET. Provide brief, qualitative justification for each of your answers. [9 pts]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOSFET parameter</th>
<th>increases</th>
<th>decreases</th>
<th>remains the same</th>
<th>Qualitative Explanation (no formulas/equations)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transconductance ($g_m$)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Channel potential is coupled more strongly to gate voltage, so $I_{DS}$ is a more sensitive function of $V_{AS}$ (and $Q_{nv}$ is larger) $\therefore \ g_m = \frac{\partial I_{DS}}{\partial V_{AS}}$ increases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body effect parameter ($\gamma$)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Channel potential is coupled more strongly to the gate voltage vs. the body voltage, so the body effect is reduced. $\uparrow$ impact of body bias on channel potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subthreshold swing ($\xi$)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gate voltage control over the channel potential is improved because of improved capacitive coupling $\uparrow$ vs. drain voltage and body voltage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) Why is drain-induced barrier lowering undesirable? (Hint: Consider how a MOSFET is typically biased in the off state, in CMOS circuits.) Describe 2 ways to reduce it. [6 pts]

DIBL is undesirable because it increases off-state ($V_{AS} = 0V$) leakage current at large $V_{DS}$, relevant for CMOS applications.

- To reduce DIBL, reduce E-field penetration into the channel:
  - increase channel/body doping
  - decrease S/D junction depth ($r_j$)
  - reduce drain doping (use LDD structure)
  - reduce $Tox$