```
CS 61A Fall 2007 Midterm 2
1. What will Scheme print in response to the following expressions? If
an expression produces an error message, you may just write "error";
you don't have to provide the exact text of the message. Also, draw a
box and pointer diagram for the value produced by each expression.
> (append (cons (list 1 2) (list 2 3)) '(5 6))
> (let ((y (list '(1) 2 3)))
    (cons '(7 . 8) (cdr y)))
2. Draw a box and pointer diagram for the following list.
(3 (9 (2 7) 8) ())
3. We're going to make a new ADT called a hider. A hider provides
procedures for encoding and decoding a value, along with a description.
(define (make-hider description encoder decoder)
    (list description (cons encoder decoder)))
(a) Write selectors hider-description, encoder, and decoder. Given a
hider, they should return the appropriate value.
(define (hider-description hider)
```

$\qquad$
(define (encoder hider)
(define (decoder hider)
(b) Now, we want to test if our hiders work properly. Given a hider and a value, we say that the hider works properly if encoding and then decoding gives us back what we had originally. Write works? That takes a hider and a value and tests the hider on the value.

```
(define (works? hider val)
```

4. Louis Reasoner has been looking over the Scheme-1 code and decides that apply-1 is doing unnecessary work. He argues that we can type (apply (lambda (x) (* $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}$ )) '(3)) in STk, so why not take advantage of that in Scheme-1.

For reference, here is apply-1 before Louis makes his proposed change:

```
(define (apply-1 proc args)
    (cond ((procedure? proc)
                (apply proc args))
                    ((lambda-exp? proc)
                    (eval-1 (substitute (caddr proc)
                                    (cadr proc)
                    args
                    `())))
He says we can change apply-1's body to:
(define (apply-1 proc args)
    (cond ((or (procedure? proc) (lambda-exp? proc))
                            (apply proc args))
                            (else (error "bad proc: " proc))))
Using Louis' new apply-1, show what Scheme-1 would print given the
following inputs. If an expression produces an error message, you may
just write "error"; you don't have to provide the exact text of the
message.
```


5. This question concerns the Tree abstract data type (with datum and children) discussed in lecture.

We're going to use Trees to store words. Each datum in the tree is a letter, and each path from the root node to a leaf represents a word. For example, the tree

represents the words cart, cap, cob, and cod. Note that this tree does not contain the word car or the word art, because a word must extend

```
from the root to a leaf.
Write a procedure contains-word? That takes such a Tree and a word, and
returns #t if the tree contains the word, or #f if not.
6. Write a procedure list-split that takes in a list and a length, and
breaks up the original list into sublists of that length. For example,
STk> (list-split '(a b c d e f g h) 2)
((a b) (c d) (e f) (g h))
STk> (list-split '(a b c d e f) 4)
((a b c d) (d e))
STk> (list-split `() 5)
()
Note that the last element of the returned value (but only the last
one) may be shorter than the specified length.
Hint: This will be much, much, much easier if you do not try to write
it iteratively! Think about meaningful helper procedures, e.g. nth-cdr.
```

