CS 61A, Fall, 2005, Midterm 3, Harvey

This exam is worth 40 points, or about 13% of your total course grade. It includes two parts: The individual exam (this part) is worth 34 points, and the group exam (the other part you probably just finished – not included in this PDF) is worth 6 points. The individual part contains six substantive questions.

When writing procedures, don't put in error checks. Assume that you will be given arguments of the correct type.

Our expectation is that many of you will not complete one or two of these questions. If you find one question especially difficult, leave it for later; start with the ones you find easier.

Question 1 (6 points):

What will the Scheme interpreter print in response to **the last expression** in each of the following sequences of expressions? Also, draw a "box and pointer" diagram for the result of each printed expression. If any expression results in an error, **circle the expression that gives the error message.** Hint: It'll be a lot easier if you draw the box and pointer diagram *first*!

```
(define f (list 2 3))
(define g (append f f))
(set-car! g f)
g
(let ((x (list 1 2 3)))
     (set-car! x (list 'a 'b 'c))
     (set-car! (cdar x) 'd)
     x)
(define x 3)
(define m (list x 4 5))
(set! x 6)
m
```

Question 2 (6 points):

(a) Here are some situations that might be simulated using OOP. In each case we want to know whether class A should be a parent of class B (answer "Yes" or "No"):

- We're simulating a kitchen. Class A: silverware. Class B: fork.

- We're simulating a shopping mall. Class A: food court. Class B: restaurant.

- We're simulating a library. Class A: bookshelf. Class B: book.

(b) In each of the following situations, should the given variable be a class variable or an instance variable (answer "Class" or "Instance")?

- In the shoe class, the total number of shoes in the world.

- In the refrigerator class, the maximum safe temperature.

- In the person class in the adventure game, the person's favorite color.

Question 3 (3 points):

What are the possible values of variable a after each of the following parallel executions? **If a deadlock is a possibility, say so.**

```
(define a 2)
(parallel-execute
      (lambda () (set! a (list a a)))
      (lambda () (set! (list a 1))))
```

(b)

(a)

```
(define a 2)
(define s (make-serializer))
(parallel-execute
    (s (lambda () (set! a (list a a))))
    (s (lambda () (set! a (list a 1)))))
```

(c)

```
(define a 2)
(define s (make-serializer))
(define t (make-serializer))
(parallel-execute
        (s (lambda () (set! a (list a a))))
        (t (lambda () (set! a (list a 1)))))
```

Question 4 (7 points):

Suppose there are N students taking a midterm. Suppose we have a vector of size N, and each element of the vector represents one student's score on the midterm. Write a procedure (histogram scores) that takes this vector of midterm scores and computes a histogram vector. That is, the resulting vector should be of size M+1, where M is the maximum score on the midterm (it's M+1 because scores of zero are possible), and element number I on the resulting vector is the number of students who got score I on the midterm.

For example:

```
> (histogram (vector 3 2 2 3 2))
#(0 0 3 2) ;; no students got 0 points, no students got 1 point,
        ;; 3 students got 2 points, and 2 students got 3
        ;;points.
> (histogram (vector 0 1 0 2))
#(2 1 1) ;; 2 students got 0 points, 1 student got 1 point,
        ;; and 1 student got 2 points.
```

Do not use list->vector or vector->list.

Note: You may assume that you have a procedure vector-max that takes a vector of numbers as an argument, and returns the largest number in the vector.

Question 5 (4 points):

On the next page is the relevant code from the Scheme-2 interpreter.

Louis Reasoner wants to make the following change to eval-2:

(a) In one English sentence, describe precisely the programs that work in the real Scheme-2, but don't work in Louis's version.

(b) Give a short example of a procedure that works in the real Scheme-2, but doesn't work in Louis's version.

Reference code for question 5:

Here is the *real* Scheme-2 code, *not* Louis's version.

```
(define (eval-2 exp env)
    (cond ((constant? exp) exp)
          ((symbol? exp) (lookup exp env))
          ((quote-exp? exp) (cadr exp))
          ((if-exp? exp)
           (if (eval-2 (cadr exp) env)
               (eval-2 (caddr exp) env)
               (eval-2 (cadddr exp) env)))
          ((lambda-exp? exp) (make-proc exp env))
          ((define-exp? exp)
                                                  ;Louis's change
           (put (cadr exp) (eval-2 (caddr exp) env) ; goes here.
                env)
           'okay)
          ((pair? exp) (apply-2 (eval-2 (car exp) env)
                                 (map (lambda (e) (eval-2 e env))
                                     (cdr exp))))
          (else (error "bad expr: " exp))))
(define (apply-2 proc args)
     (cond ((procedure? proc))
            (apply proc args))
           ((lambda-proc? proc))
            (eval-sequence (proc-body proc)
                          (extend-environment (proc-params proc)
                                               arqs
                                               (proc-env proc))))
     (else (error "bad proc: " proc))))
```

Question 6 (7 points): For reference, here is the insertion sort program you saw in week 3, rewritten to use lists instead of sentences:

```
(define (sort lst)
  (if (null? lst)
  '()
  (insert (car lst) (sort (cdr lst)))))
(define (insert value sorted)
  (cond ((null? sorted) (list value))
        ((< value (car sorted)) (cons value sorted))
               (else (cons (car sorted) (insert value (cdr sorted))))))
```

We are going to rewrite this to use list mutation, rearranging the order of the pairs in the spine of the argument list; **the procedure will return the sorted list.** Don't worry about preserving the value of any variables that point to the original list. Sample use:

```
> (sort! (list 7 3 87 5))
(3 5 7 87)
```

Your job is to fill in the blanks in the partial definition below. You probably will not need all the space given. Note that the first argument to insert! is the pair whose car is the value to be inserted, not the value itself.

Your procedure must not create new pairs!

```
(define (sort! lst)
  (if (null? lst)
    '()
    (insert! lst (sort! (cdr lst)))))
(define (insert! value-pair sorted)
  (cond ((null? sorted)
    (set-cdr! value-pair '())
    value-pair)
    ((< (car value-pair) (car sorted))
    ______)
```

(else

_____))))