Basic combinatoric identities:
\[
\binom{n}{k} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} = \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k}
\]
\[
H_n \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} \leq \ln(n) \leq H_n \quad \text{(Harmonic numbers, coupon collector)}
\]
\[
n! \approx \sqrt{2\pi n(n/e)^n} \quad \text{(Stirling's approximation)}
\]

Inclusion/exclusion formula:
\[
P\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i\right) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} P\{A_i\} - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} P\{A_i \cap A_j\} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} P\{A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k\} + \ldots + (-1)^{n+1}P\{A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \ldots \cap A_n\}
\]

Some probability:

- \( P\left(\bigvee_{i} A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i} P\{A_i\}\). Equality holds if and only if the events \( \{A_i\} \) are disjoint.
- Events \( A \) and \( B \) are independent if \( P\{A \land B\} = P\{A\} \cdot P\{B\}\). Random variables \( X \) and \( Y \) are independent if for all numbers \( x \) and \( y \), the events “\( X = x \)” and “\( Y = y \)” are independent.
- Events \( \{A_i\} \) are pairwise independent if \( \forall i, j : P\{A_i \land A_j\} = P\{A_i\} \cdot P\{A_j\}\). Events \( \{A_i\} \) are mutually independent if \( \forall S : P\left(\bigwedge_{i \in S} A_i\right) = \prod_{i \in S} P\{A_i\}\).
- Definition of conditional probability: \( P\{A \mid B\} = \frac{P\{A \land B\}}{P\{B\}}\).
- For RV’s with finite expectation, \( E\{X\} = \sum_i P\{X = i\} \) and \( E\{X + Y\} = E\{X\} + E\{Y\}\).

Binomial distribution: Let \( X_n \) be the number of heads in \( n \) flips of a coin with bias \( p \). \( E\{X_n\} = np \) and
\[
P\{X_n = k\} = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n
\]

Geometric distribution: Let \( X \) be the number of tails in a row before getting a heads in a sequence of flips of a coin with bias \( p \). Let \( Y = X + 1 \) be the total number of flips to get a head. Depending on the literature, either \( X \) or \( Y \) are said to have the geometric distribution.
\[
P\{X = k\} = p(1-p)^k, \quad k \geq 0
\]
\[
P\{Y = k\} = p(1-p)^{k-1}, \quad k \geq 1
\]
\[
E\{X\} = \frac{1-p}{p} \text{ and } E\{Y\} = \frac{1}{p}
\]

Markov’s Inequality: For a non-negative random variable \( X \),
\[
P\{X \geq \alpha\} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} E\{X\}\]
**König Egerváry Theorem:** In a bipartite graph, the size of the maximum matching equals the size of the minimum vertex cover.

**Dilworth’s Theorem:** The minimum number of chains to cover all the elements equals the largest sized antichain.

**Euler’s Theorem:** An undirected, connected multigraph, $G$, has an Eulerian walk if and only if either none or exactly two of its nodes have odd degree. A strongly connected directed multigraph has an Eulerian cycle if and only if every node has indegree equal to its outdegree.

**Sperner’s Lemma:** Let $T$ be a triangulated triangle. Label the three corners, $v_0, v_1, v_2$ of $T$ with 0,1 and 2. Label the remaining nodes of $T$ in any way such that

1. Each node along the line connecting $v_i$ and $v_j$ is labeled with either $i$ or $j$.
2. Each interior node is labeled either 0, 1, or 2.

Then, there exists an interior triangle labeled with 0, 1 and 2.

**Euler’s Formula:** Any planar embedding of a connected graph has $|E| - |V| + 2$ regions. The following are corollaries:

1. For any planar graph, $|E| \leq 3|V| - 6$
2. Any planar graph has a vertex, $v$, of degree $d(v) \leq 5$
3. Any planar graph is 5-colorable. (Also, any planar graph happens to be 4 colorable.)

Also, 3-colorability of planar graphs is NP-complete. Any graph is 2-colorable if and only if it’s bipartite or, equivalently, has no odd cycles.

**Kuratowski’s Theorem:** A graph is planar if and only if it does not contain a graph homeomorphic to $K_{3,3}$ or $K_5$.

**Hall’s Theorem:** Let $G$ be a graph on vertex sets $L$ on the left and $R$ on the right. $G$ has a perfect matching if and only if

$$\forall A \subseteq L : |\Gamma(A)| \geq |A|$$

**Cayley’s Theorem:** The number of labeled trees on $n$ vertices is exactly $n^{n-2}$. 
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1. Let \( \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{mn}\} \) be \( mn \) events each having some known probability \( \Pr[A_i] = p_i \). Further, for all \( j \), \( \{A_{jm+1}, \ldots, A_{(j+1)m}\} \) are mutually independent. So \( \{A_1, \ldots, A_m\} \) are independent, as are \( \{A_{m+1}, \ldots, A_{2m}\} \), etc.

   (a) Upper and lower bound \( \Pr[A_1 \lor A_2 \lor \ldots \lor A_{mn}] \).
   (b) Let \( N \) be the number of \( A_j \)'s which are true. Upper and lower bound \( \E[N] \).

2. Five people playing poker are dealt five cards each.

   (a) What is the chance that Bob received a flush (all five cards are the same suit)?
   (b) Let \( F \) denote the event, “at least one of the five people receives a flush.” Use the first two terms of
   inclusion-exclusion to give upper and lower bounds on \( \Pr[F] \). You need not simplify your answers.

3. Consider a graph \( G \) consisting of a set of \( n \) vertices, where each edge is present independently with probability \( p = 1 - q \). In other words, \( G \) is a complete graph, \( K_n \), except each edge gets removed with probability \( q = 1 - p \). Let \( N \) be the number of simple paths between a specified pair of vertices \( u \) and \( v \). The following example shows a graph where \( n = 4 \) and \( N = 3 \); the simple paths between nodes \( A \) and \( B \) are \( AB, ACB \), and \( ACDB \).

   \[
   \begin{array}{c}
   \text{u = A} \\
   \text{v = B} \\
   \text{C} \\
   \text{D}
   \end{array}
   \]

   Compute \( \E[N] \) in terms of \( n \) and \( p \). (Do not simplify your result.)

4. Show that a planar graph \( G \) with 8 vertices and 13 edges cannot be 2-colored. (Hint: Use facts you know about
   the regions to prove \( G \) must contain a triangle. Do not try to provide an exhaustive search.)

5. You’ve just discovered incredibly simple linear time randomized algorithms to do the following two problems:
   - Find a maximum sized matching in a bipartite \( n \times n \) graph with probability \( \frac{1}{2} \)
   - Find a minimum sized vertex cover in a bipartite \( n \times n \) graph with probability \( \frac{1}{2} \)

   The only problem is that when, for example, the first algorithm fails, it prints out a matching that is not
   optimal, and there’s no way for you to test for optimality quickly.

   If you were to rerun the algorithm 10 times, and then take the maximum sized matching found so far, with
   probability \( (1 - 2^{-10}) \) you’ll have the maximum matching. But you wish to write an algorithm which is
   guaranteed to always give a maximum matching. (You’re willing to accept that the algorithm takes an
   arbitrarily long time with vanishingly small probability.)

   (a) Design an algorithm which always gives a maximum matching using the “•” algorithms as subroutines.
   (Feel free to give up a little bit in efficiency to make part (b) below easy, as long as the expected running
   time is linear.)
   (b) Find the expected running time of your algorithm. Assume the running time of the two routines is \( cn \). (1
   point off if answer is not simplified.)

6. In class we showed that a complete binary tree of height \( h \) cannot be embedded in a hypercube of dimension
   \( h + 1 \). Show that any tree \( T \) can be embedded in some \( n \)-cube. (You may make \( n \) as large as you wish.)