- 1. (16pts) Consider a sequence of n independent coin tosses where $\Pr[\text{Heads}] = 1/4$ and $\Pr[\text{Tails}] = 3/4$. Let X be the number of heads.
 - (a) What is the probability of getting X = k. Solution:

$$\Pr[X=k] = \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^k \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{n-k}.$$

(b) Apply Markov's bound to $\Pr[X \ge n/2]$. Solution:

$$\Pr[X \ge n/2] \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{n/2} = \frac{n/4}{n/2} = 1/2.$$

(c) Apply Chebyshev's bound to $\Pr[X \ge n/2]$. Solution:

$$\Pr[X \ge n/2] \le \Pr[|X - E[X]| \ge n/4] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{(n/4)^2} = \frac{3n/16}{n^2/16} = 3/n.$$

(d) Compute the moment generating function for X. Solution: Let X_i be the *i*-th coin toss.

$$\mathbb{E}[e^X] = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[e^{X_i}] = \frac{(e+3)^n}{4^n}.$$

- 2. (15pts) Suppose you throw n/2 balls into n bins, where n is even. What is the probability that exactly n/2 bins are empty?
 - (a) Compute this exactly.

Solution:

$$\frac{\binom{n}{n/2}(n/2)!}{n^{n/2}} = \frac{(n)_{n/2}}{n^{n/2}}.$$

(b) Use the Poisson approximation to give an upper bound on this value. Solution: Let $Y_i \sim \mathbf{Poisson}(1/2)$ for the *i*-th bin.

$$\begin{split} \Pr[\text{exactly } n/2 \text{ bins are empty}] &\leq e\sqrt{n/2} \Pr[\text{exactly } n/2 \text{ of the } Y_i \text{ is } 0 \text{ }] \\ &= e\sqrt{n/2} \binom{n}{n/2} e^{-n/4} (1 - e^{-1/2})^{n/2}. \end{split}$$

Note that the event is monotone in the number of balls, hence one could get a marginally better bound.

(c) Show that your bounds in part (a) is exponentially tighter than the bound in part (b) for large n. Solution: Recall that $n! < e\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n$, now let us consider the ratio of the two bounds:

$$\frac{\binom{n}{n/2}(n/2)!}{n^{n/2}e\sqrt{n/2}\binom{n}{n/2}e^{-n/4}(1-e^{-1/2})^{n/2}} < \frac{1}{\left(2(\sqrt{e}-1)\right)^{n/2}}.$$

Since $2(\sqrt{e}-1) > 1$, this is an exponentially small number.

- 3. (15pts) Consider the number of 3-cliques in a $G_{n,p}$ random graph, denoted by X.
 - (a) What is the expected number of 3-cliques in a $G_{n,p}$ random graph? Solution: $\mathbb{E}[X] = {n \choose 3} p^3$.
 - (b) Show that if p = f(n) = o(1/n) then for any ε > 0 there exists some n sufficiently large such that the probability a clique will exist is less than ε.
 Solution: By Markov's inequality, Pr[X ≥ 1] ≤ E[X] = (ⁿ₃)p³ = o_n(1). Recall that for any ε > 0, there exists n large enough such that o_n(1) < ε, which is exactly what we want to show.
 - (c) Show that $p = f(n) = \omega(1/n)$ then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists some n sufficiently large such that the probability a clique will not exist is less than ε .

Solution: Let X_i be the indicator of whether the *i*-th clique is present. Recall that

$$\Pr[X > 0] \ge \sum_{i=1}^{\binom{n}{3}} \frac{\Pr[X_i = 1]}{\mathbb{E}[X \mid X_i = 1]}$$

where

$$\mathbb{E}[X \mid X_i = 1] = \sum_{j=1}^{\binom{n}{3}} \Pr[X_j = 1 \mid X_i = 1] = 1 + \binom{n-3}{3} p^3 + 3\binom{n-3}{2} p^3 + 3\binom{n-3}{1} p^2.$$

Thus we have,

$$\Pr[X > 0] \ge \frac{\binom{n}{3}p^3}{1 + \binom{n-3}{3}p^3 + 3\binom{n-3}{2}p^3 + 3\binom{n-3}{1}p^2} = 1 - o_n(1),$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $\binom{n}{3} = (1 + o_n(1))\binom{n-3}{3}$. Alternative second moment method is as follows:

$$\Pr[X=0] \le \frac{\mathbf{Var}[X]}{\left(\mathbb{E}[X]\right)^2} \le \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[X]} + \frac{\sum_{i \ne j} (\mathbb{E}[X_i X_j] - \mathbb{E}[X_i] \mathbb{E}[X_j])}{\mathbb{E}[X]^2},$$

where the covariance $\mathbb{E}[X_iX_j] - \mathbb{E}[X_i]\mathbb{E}[X_j] \neq 0$ only if $|C_i \cap C_j| = 2$. If $|C_i \cap C_j| = 2$, $\mathbb{E}[X_iX_j] - \mathbb{E}[X_i]\mathbb{E}[X_j] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_iX_j] = p^5$, and there are $12\binom{n}{4}$ such pairs. So overall we have $\Pr[X = 0] \leq \Theta(1/p^3n^3) + \Theta(\frac{n^4p^5}{n^6p^6}) = o_n(1)$.

- 4. (12pts) Consider a k-SAT formula with n literals and m clauses, where each literal is contained in at most 3 consecutive clauses, i.e. the clauses are ordered 1 to m and a specific literal can only be in 3 in a row, such as clauses i, i + 1, i + 2. In this problem you will apply the Lovasz local lemma.
 - (a) Define the probability space and the set of bad events.

Solution: The probability space will be the uniform distribution over $\{T, F\}^n$ assignments. For each clause of the form $(l_1 \lor l_2 \lor \cdots \lor l_k)$, we define a bad event: "this clause is violated" (i.e. $l_1 = l_2 = \cdots = l_k = F$).

(b) Describe the dependency graph (construct the vertex set and edge set).

Solution: The vertex set will be the set of bad events, i.e. the clauses. If two clause share a variable, then we add an edge to connect the two corresponding vertices. The maximum degree will be $\min \{4, 2k\}$, as a clause can only be connected to the previous two clauses, and the following two clauses, and every literal can connect at most two other clauses.

(c) For what values of k must there exist a solution to the formula according to the Lovasz local lemma?

Solution: We apply the symmetric Lovasz local lemma. The maximum degree of the dependency graph is min $\{4, 2k\}$, the probability of a bad event is 2^{-k} , so setting $4 \min \{4, 2k\} / 2^k \le 1$ we get $k \ge 4$.

- 5. (12pts) Consider a fair lazy random walk on $\{0, \dots, n\}$ with reflecting boundaries, i.e. at every state i except 0 and n, the next step is chosen from $\{i, i + 1, i 1\}$ with equal probability; while at state 0 it is 1 or 0 (unchanged) and at state n it is n 1 or n, both with equal probabilities.
 - (a) For n = 3, draw the graph of this Markov Chain, including the transition probabilities. **Solution:** TBA.
 - (b) For n = 3, explicitly write out the transition matrix of this Markov Chain.

Solution:
$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(c) For arbitrary n compute the stationary distribution of this Markov Chain.

Solution: Note: the best way is to use the detailed balance equation, see Theorem 7.10. Let $\pi = (2/(3n-2) \ 3/(3n-2) \ 3/(3n-2) \ \cdots \ 3/(3n-2) \ 2/(3n-2))$. In other words, only the first and last entry is 2/(3n-2), and every other entry is 3/(3n-2). It is easy to verify that $\pi P = \pi$.

(d) If the Markov chain starts at state 0, show that the probability of not returning to state 0 within $\frac{3}{2}n^2$ steps is less than 1/n.

Solution: Note that the chain is ergodic. Let T be the random variable for the time to start from 0 and return to 0, then $\mathbb{E}T = 1/\pi(0) = (3n - 2)/2$. Thus by Markov's inequality,

$$\Pr[T > 3n^2/2] < \frac{\mathbb{E}T}{3n^2/2} < 1/n.$$

- 6. (12pts) Consider a *d*-regular graph *G* with *n* vertices (*d*-regular means that every vertex has degree *d*). A dominating set *S* is a subset of the vertices such that every vertex in *G* is either in *S* or a neighbor of a vertex in *S*.
 - (a) Show that $|S| \ge \frac{n}{1+d}$.

Solution: Each vertex in S covers at most d + 1 vertices, so in total S covers at most (d + 1)|S| vertices. Since S is a dominating set, it covers all the n vertices, thus $(d + 1)|S| \ge n$.

(b) Suppose $d \ge 4 \ln n$, and we choose a set S of vertices at random, where each vertex is chosen with probability $p = \frac{2 \ln n}{d+1}$. Show that the probability that S is a dominating set is at least 1 - 1/n. (Hint: if x > 0, $(1 - 1/x)^x < 1/e$.)

Solution: For each vertex, there is a constraint that says "at least one of its neighbors or itself has to be chosen". Let $N_G[v] = \{v\} \cup N_G(v)$ be the closed neighborhood of v, and B_v be such a event that $N_G[v] \cap S \neq \emptyset$.

$$\Pr\left[\bigcap_{v \in V} B_v\right] = 1 - \Pr\left[\bigcup_{v \in V} \overline{B_v}\right]$$
$$\geq 1 - \sum_{v \in V} \Pr[\overline{B_v}]$$
$$= 1 - n(1-p)^{d+1}$$
$$\geq 1 - ne^{-2\ln n}$$
$$\geq 1 - 1/n.$$

(c) Construct an algorithm which improves on the approach in part (b) and produces a dominating set with $E[|S|] \le n \frac{1+\ln(d+1)}{d+1}$. (Hint: sample and modify.)

Solution: Recall that for every vertex, there's a constraint that says "at least one of its neighbors or itself has to be chosen". We will first run part (b) with $p = \frac{\ln(d+1)}{d+1}$. Let C_v be the indicator that $N_G[v] \cap S \neq \emptyset$, the expected number of violated constraints will be

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{v \in V} (1 - C_v)\right] = n(1 - p)^{d+1} \le n/(d+1).$$

At this point $\mathbb{E}|S| = np = n \frac{\ln(d+1)}{d+1}$, but it may not be a dominating set yet. Then in order to fix the violations, for every violated constraint, we only need to add one vertex from the (d+1) vertices to fix it. Since there are n/(d+1) violated constraints in expectation, we are adding at most n/(d+1) vertices to S in expectation. So overall we can find a set $\mathbb{E}|S| \le n(1+\ln(d+1))/(d+1)$. Note: the greedy algorithm can also achieve the same approximation ratio, and the analysis is similar to that of the greedy algorithm for set cover (see CS170) but slightly more involved.

- 7. (12pts) Suppose that S and T are stopping times for the sequence $\{Z_n : n \ge 0\}$. Which of the following are necessarily stopping times for the sequence $\{Z_n : n \ge 0\}$? Justify your answers.
 - (a) S + T.

Solution: S + T is a stopping time. Indeed,

$$1_{S+T=n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{S=i} 1_{T=n-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} f_i(Z_0, \dots, Z_i) g_{n-1}(Z_0, \dots, Z_{n-1}),$$

which is a function of Z_1, \ldots, Z_n .

(b) $\max(S, T) - \min(S, T)$.

Solution: $\max(S,T) - \min(S,T) = |S - T|$ is not a stopping time. Here's a counterexample. Suppose that Z_0, Z_1, \ldots is a simple random walk on the integers (that is, $Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ where $X_i = 1, -1$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$.) Let S be the k such that $Z_k = 1$. Let T = 1 be constant. In the sequence $Z_0 = 0, Z_1 = 1$, we have S - T = 0. In the sequence $Z_0 = 0, Z_1 = -1$, we have $|S - T| \neq 0$. Since the term Z_0 is the same for these two sequences, this shows that the random variable $1_{|S-T|=n}$ is not determined by Z_0, \ldots, Z_n .

(c) S^2 .

Solution: S^2 is a stopping time. Note that

$$1_{S^2=n} = 1_{S=\sqrt{n}}$$

which is a function of Z_0, \ldots, Z_n .