

**Problem 1.** (100 points) Given a word  $w$ , the *stutter reduction*  $[w]$  is the word that results from  $w$  by deleting repeated adjacent occurrences of the same letter. For example,  $[abccecbabbbb] = abcbab$ . Given a language  $A$ , let  $[A] = \{[w] \mid w \in A\}$  be the set of stutter reductions of words in  $A$ . If  $A$  is a regular language, does it necessarily follow that  $[A]$  is also regular? Prove your answer.

**Problem 2.** (100 points) Let  $B_1$  be the set of quantified boolean formulas whose operators are taken from the set  $\{\wedge, \vee, \neg\}$  (arbitrarily nested) and whose variables are letters from the set  $V_1 = \{x, y, z\}$ . We require that every variable is bound by a quantifier. For example,  $(\forall x)(\exists y)(x \vee y)$  is in  $B_1$ , whereas  $(\forall x)(x \vee y)$  is not. You may assume that all quantifiers occur at the beginning of a formula, and you are free to choose the precise syntax of formulas (where to put parentheses etc.). Let  $B_2$  be the set of quantified boolean formulas whose variables are words from the set  $V_2 = \{x, y, z\}^*$ . For example,  $(\forall xx)(\exists xyx)(xx \vee xyx)$  is in  $B_2$  (note that  $xx$  is one variable, and  $xyx$  is another one). Unlike the formulas in  $B_1$ , the formulas in  $B_2$  have an unlimited supply of variables. Is  $B_1$  context-free? What about  $B_2$ ? Prove your answers.

**Problem 3.** (100 points) Let  $C_1$  be the set of all pairs  $\langle M, w \rangle$ , where  $M$  is a deterministic Turing machine whose computation on input  $w$  visits at most half of the non-blank tape cells (i.e., the machine  $M$  accepts, rejects, or loops without moving past the midpoint of the input  $w$ ). Let  $C_2$  be the set of all pairs  $\langle M, w \rangle$ , where  $M$  is a deterministic Turing machine whose computation on input  $w$  visits at most half of the states of  $M$ . Is  $C_1$  recursive or r.e. or co-r.e. or neither? What about  $C_2$ ? Prove your answers.

**Problem 4.** (100 points) A regular expression is *star-free* iff it does not contain the  $*$  operator. Prove that the following language is NP-complete:

$$\overline{\text{STARFREEUNIVERSALITY}} = \{ \langle R, k \rangle \mid R \text{ is a star-free regular expression and } k \text{ is a nonnegative integer and } L(R) \neq \{0, 1\}^k \}$$

Here  $\{0, 1\}^k$  is the language that contains all words of length  $k$  with letters from  $\{0, 1\}$ . To prove containment in NP, give a certificate and show that it can be verified in polynomial time. To prove hardness for NP, reduce 3SAT to  $\overline{\text{STARFREEUNIVERSALITY}}$  in polynomial time.

*Hint:* Think of the truth-value assignment that assigns *false* to all variables as the word  $00\dots 0$ , and the truth-value assignment that assigns *true* to all variables as the word  $11\dots 1$ . Given a 3cnf formula  $\phi$ , construct a star-free regular expression  $R$  and an integer  $k$  so that the truth-value assignments that satisfy  $\phi$  correspond to words of length  $k$  that are rejected by  $R$ , and the truth-value assignments that do not satisfy  $\phi$  correspond to words of length  $k$  that are accepted by  $R$ .