

## MATH 202A, ASSIGNMENT 7

CHRIS CRUTCHFIELD

**Exercise 1.** Let  $X = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} X_i$  be a product space, and for each  $i$  let  $A_i$  be a nonempty subset of  $X_i$ . Let  $A = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_i$ . Prove that

$$\overline{A} = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \overline{A_i}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be a limit point of  $A$ . Then we want to show that  $\pi_i(x)$  is contained in  $\overline{A_i}$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ .

Fix  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ . Let  $N_i$  be an arbitrary neighborhood of  $\pi_i(x)$ . Then  $M_i = \pi_i^{-1}(N_i)$  is a neighborhood of  $x$ . Since  $x$  is a limit point of  $A$ , there exists  $y_i \neq x$ ,  $y_i \in A \cap M_i$ . Therefore  $\pi_i(y_i) \in A_i \cap N_i$ . Since  $N_i$  was chosen arbitrarily, we see that  $\pi_i(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{A_i}$ . Since  $i$  was chosen arbitrarily, we have that  $\overline{A} \subseteq \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \overline{A_i}$ .

Now we prove the converse. Suppose that  $x_i$  is a limit point of  $A_i$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ . Let  $x = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} x_i$ . Then for all  $N$  a neighborhood of  $x$ , we have that  $\pi_i(N)$  is a neighborhood of  $x_i$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ . Thus since  $x_i$  is a limit point of  $A_i$  for all  $i$ , then there exists  $y_i \neq x_i$  such that  $y_i \in A_i \cap \pi_i(N)$ . We let  $y = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} y_i$ . Thus  $y \in N \cap A$ , whence  $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \overline{A_i} \subseteq \overline{A}$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.** Prove that the topological space  $X$  is Hausdorff if and only if the diagonal in  $X \times X$ , the set  $D = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ , is closed in the product topology.

*Proof.* First we suppose that  $X$  is Hausdorff and we aim to show that the diagonal  $D$  in  $X \times X$  is closed. Suppose  $(x, y)$  is a limit point of  $D$  and  $x \neq y$ . Then since  $X$  is Hausdorff, there exists  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  disjoint such that  $x \in N_1$ ,  $y \in N_2$ . Now we consider  $N_1 \times N_2$ . Since this is a neighborhood of  $(x, y)$ , there exists  $(z, z) \in N_1 \times N_2$ . But this implies that  $z \in N_1 \cap N_2$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $D$  is closed.

Now suppose that the diagonal in  $X \times X$  is closed. Let  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ , such that  $x \neq y$ . Since the diagonal  $D$  is closed, there exists a neighborhood  $N$  of  $(x, y)$  such that  $N$  is disjoint from  $D$ . Therefore we have that  $(x, x) \notin N$  and  $(y, y) \notin N$ . Applying  $\pi_i$ , we get that  $x \notin \pi_2(N)$  and  $y \notin \pi_1(N)$ . Now we suppose that  $z \in \pi_1(N) \cap \pi_2(N)$ . Then this implies that  $(z, z) \in N$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore we have that  $x \in \pi_1(N)$ ,  $y \in \pi_2(N)$ , and  $\pi_1(N) \cap \pi_2(N) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $X$  is Hausdorff.  $\square$

**Exercise 3.** Prove the following:

- (a) The space  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  is separable.
- (b) The space  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  is not first countable.

(a) *Proof.* We let  $A = \{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$  be a countable base for  $\mathbb{R}$ , since  $\mathbb{R}$  is second countable. Then we consider  $\mathcal{I}$  a finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  are

pairwise disjoint. Let  $q \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{I}}$ . Then we define  $b_{\mathcal{I},q} = \prod_{x \in \mathbb{R}} c_x$ , where  $c_x$  is  $\pi_i(q)$  if  $x \in A_i$  and 0 if  $x \notin A_i$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ . Now, since  $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{I}}$  is countable, the set

$$B_{\mathcal{I}} = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{I}}} b_{\mathcal{I},q}$$

is countable as well. Since the set of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  is countable, then if  $\mathcal{J} = \{\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{I} \text{ finite, } \{A_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \text{ pairwise disjoint}\}$ ,

$$B = \bigcup_{\mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{J}} B_{\mathcal{I}}$$

is countable as well. Now we show that this set is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $N$  be a neighborhood of  $x$ . Then by the definition of the product topology, we must have that there exists some finite subset  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\pi_i(N)$  is a proper open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , and  $\pi_i(N) = \mathbb{R}$  for all  $i \notin \mathcal{I}$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is Hausdorff, and since  $A$  is a countable base, there exists some map  $f : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $i \in A_{f(i)}$  and  $\{A_{f(i)}\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  is pairwise disjoint. Also, for each  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  there exists  $q_{f(i)} \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $q_{f(i)} \subseteq \pi_i(N)$ , by the density of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Letting  $q = \prod_{j \in f(\mathcal{I})} q_j$ , we see that  $b_{f(\mathcal{I}),q}$  is by definition contained in  $N$ . But  $b_{f(\mathcal{I}),q} \in B$ . Therefore  $B$  is a countable dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .  $\square$

- (b) *Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  is first countable. Then we fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ . By assumption there exists a countable set  $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots\}$  of neighborhoods of  $x$  such that for all neighborhoods  $V$  of  $x$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U_n \subseteq V$ . However, since each  $U_i$  is a neighborhood of  $x$ , there exists some  $\mathcal{I}_i$  a finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $j \in \mathcal{I}_i$ ,  $\pi_j(U_i)$  is a proper open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and for all  $j \notin \mathcal{I}_i$ ,  $\pi_j(U_i) = \mathbb{R}$ . But we notice that  $A = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{I}_i$  is a countable subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable, there exists  $b \notin A$ . Letting  $V = \pi_b^{-1}(Y)$ , where  $Y$  is the open interval  $(0, 1)$ , we see that there cannot exist an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U_n \subseteq V$ , because that would imply that  $\pi_b(U_n) = \mathbb{R} \subseteq (0, 1)$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 4.** Let  $X$  be the product space  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , where  $\{0, 1\}$  has the discrete topology. Thus,  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space. Define the function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2x_n}{3^n},$$

and note that  $\varphi(X) = C$ , the Cantor set.

- Prove  $\varphi$  is continuous.
  - Prove  $\varphi$  is one-to-one.
  - Deduce that  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism of  $X$  onto  $C$ .
  - Prove  $C$  is homeomorphic to  $C \times C$ .
  - Prove  $C$  is homeomorphic to  $C^{\mathbb{N}}$ .
- (a) *Proof.* For this problem we will use the definition of continuity where if for all  $V$  a neighborhood of  $f(x)$  there exists  $U$  a neighborhood of  $x$  such that  $f(U) \subseteq V$ , then  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ .

Let  $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Let  $V$  be a neighborhood of  $\varphi(x)$ . Now, we clearly have that the open sets  $\{(0, 1/3), (2/3, 1), (0, 1/9), (2/9, 1/3), \dots\}$  used in the construction of the Cantor set form a topological basis for  $C$ . Hence there exists some interval  $U$  of this form contained in  $V$ . But we note that in each such interval, there

exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$  such that for all  $x$  in the interval and for all  $i \leq n$ ,  $\pi_i(x)$  is a singleton set (namely  $\pi_i(\varphi^{-1}(\inf U))$ ), and for all  $i > n$ ,  $\pi_i(x) = \{0, 1\}$ . Therefore,  $\varphi^{-1}(U)$  is an open set in  $X$ . Therefore  $\varphi$  is continuous at  $x$ . Since our choice of  $x$  was arbitrary,  $\varphi$  is a continuous function.  $\square$

- (b) *Proof.* Suppose that  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $x \neq y$ , such that  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$ . Comparing digits of  $x$  and  $y$ , we see that since  $x \neq y$ , there exists some smallest  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_n \neq y_n$ , where  $x_n = \pi_n(x)$  and so on. Without loss of generality, let's suppose  $x_n = 1$  and  $y_n = 0$ . In the worst case,  $x_k = 0$  for all  $k > n$  and  $y_k = 1$  for all  $k > n$ . In this case,  $\varphi(x) - \varphi(y) = 2/3^n - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} 2/3^k = 2/3^n - 1/3^n = 1/3^n \neq 0$ . Therefore  $\varphi$  is one-to-one.  $\square$
- (c) *Proof.* By the Tychonoff Product Theorem,  $X$  is a compact set (since each  $\{0, 1\}$  is trivially compact). Since by (a),  $\varphi$  is continuous, and since by (b),  $\varphi$  is a bijection, and since the Cantor set is a Hausdorff space (by virtue of being contained in a Hausdorff space), by one of the properties of compactness presented in class,  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism.  $\square$
- (d) *Proof.* Consider the map  $\sigma : \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , which takes the element  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$  to  $((x_1, x_3, x_5, \dots), (x_2, x_4, x_6, \dots))$ . This map is clearly a bijection. It is continuous because if  $U$  is an open set in the image space, then there are some subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_1$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2$  such that for all  $i \notin \mathcal{I}_1 \cup \mathcal{I}_2$ ,  $\pi_i(\pi_i(U))$  or  $\pi_2(\pi_i(U))$  is  $\{0, 1\}$ . Therefore the preimage of  $U$  is an open set, with  $\mathcal{I}_1 \cup \mathcal{I}_2$  as its set of indices of proper open subsets. In the same it is clearly true that the inverse function is continuous.

We construct a homeomorphism from  $C$  to  $C \times C$  as follows. Let the homeomorphism be  $(\varphi, \varphi) \circ \sigma \circ \varphi^{-1}$ .  $\square$

- (e) *Proof.* There exists a bijection  $\rho$  between  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . We aim to construct a homeomorphism  $\sigma$  from  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  to  $(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$  and let  $\sigma(x) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} x_{\rho^{-1}(i,j)}$ . Clearly  $\sigma$  is a bijection, since  $\rho$  is a bijection. Let  $N$  be an open set in  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Then there is some finite subset  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  where  $\pi_i(N)$  is a proper subset of  $\{0, 1\}$  on  $\mathcal{I}$ , and the entire set elsewhere. Clearly, then, in the image, there is some finite subset  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\pi_j(\sigma(N))$  is a proper subset of  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , and the entire space elsewhere. The same argument holds for the opposite direction, ensuring that  $\sigma$  is indeed a homeomorphism.

Now we construct a homeomorphism from  $C$  to  $C^{\mathbb{N}}$  as follows. Let the homeomorphism be  $(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi) \circ \sigma \circ \varphi^{-1}$ .  $\square$