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Please explain your answers carefully. There are 100 total points and Question 4, part $\mathbf{c}$ ) is a bonus.
Problem 1 ( 30 points)
[8 pts.] 1a)Argue that for any binary code satisfying the prefix-free condition, the codeword lengths $\left\{l_{i}\right\}$ must satisfy the Kraft's inequality:

$$
\sum_{i} 2^{-l_{i}} \leq 1
$$

[6 pts.] b) Is it true that for any source, the codeword lengths for the binary Huffman code must satisfy Kraft's inequality with equality? Explain.
[6 pts.] c) Suppose now the coded symbols are from a general alphabet of size $D$. The Kraft inequality becomes:

$$
\sum_{i} D^{-l_{i}} \leq 1
$$

Is it true that the Huffman code must satisfy Kraft's inequality with equality? Explain.
[10 pts.] d) Consider a source for which the letter probabilities are of the form $2^{-k}$, where $k$ is an integer. Construct the Huffman code and give the corresponding codeword lengths. Justify that the code is optimal.

## Problem 2 ( 30 points)

Let $\{X(t)\}$ be a zero-mean WSS Gaussian process with autocorrelation function $R_{x}(\tau)=e^{-|\tau|}$.
[6 pts.] a) Find its power spectral density.
[8 pts.] b) Suppose we sample this process every $T$ seconds. Is the resulting discrete-time process Gaussian? WSS? If so, compute its autocorrelation function.
[8 pts.] c) Let $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}$ be the sampled process. We perform DPCM quantization by LLSE prediction of $Y_{n}$ from $Y_{n-1}$. Find the distribution of the residual error $Y_{n}-Y_{n}$.
[8 pts.] d) The residual error is quantized by a single bit quantizer to values $\pm \Delta$. Find the optimal choice of $\Delta$ as a function of $T$. What happens when $T \rightarrow 0$ ?

## Problem 3 (20 points)

Here is one way to simulate white noise. Let $\left\{W_{n}\right\}$ be iid. rv's with $P\left(W_{n}=1\right)=P\left(W_{n}=-1\right)=\frac{1}{2}$. For each $K$, define the continuous process $\left\{W^{(k)}(t)\right\}$ for $t \geq 0$ as follows:

$$
W^{(k)}(t)=W_{n} \sqrt{K} \text { for } \frac{n}{K} \leq t \leq \frac{n+1}{K}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

For large $K$, this can be used to approximate $\{W(t)\}$.
[4 pts.] a) Sketch a typical sample path of $\left\{W^{(k)}(t)\right\}$.
[10 pts.] b) Compute $\operatorname{Var}\left[W^{(k)}(t)\right]$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left[\int_{0}^{1} W^{(k)}(t) d t\right]$. Based on this calculation, explain why while

$$
\operatorname{Var}[W(t)]=\infty, \operatorname{Var}\left[\int_{0}^{1} W(t) d t\right] \text { is finite. }
$$

[6 pts.] c) A student does not like the fact that $\operatorname{Var}[W(t)]=\infty$. He decides to use instead the approximation

$$
Y^{(k)}(t)=W_{n} \text { for } \frac{n}{K} \leq t \leq \frac{n+1}{K}, \quad n=0,1,2
$$

What is wrong with this noise model for $K$ large?

## Problem 4 (20 points)

A data stream is partitioned into blocks of two bits. A block is modulated onto a signal waveform, say on $[0,1]$. Consider two modulation schemes:

1) Scheme A: The two bits are modulated into a 4 -level PAM, with the 4 levels equally spaced and symmetric about 0 .
2) Scheme B: The signal waveform is composed by separately modulating each bit into a 2-level PAM. The waveform for the first bit is on $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$, and the one for the second bit on $\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$. The levels are symmetric about 0 .
[6 pts.] a) Sketch the possible signal waveforms for both schemes.
[14 pts.] b) Find an orthonormal basis for each scheme (on [0, 1]). What are the dimensions of the signal space? Sketch a geometric representation of the signal constellation.
[6 pts.] c) BONUS: An important measure of a modulation scheme is the minimum distance between the constellation points. For a given minimum distance $d$, find the average energies required in both schemes. Which scheme is better in this respect? (You can assume that each of the four possible messages is equally likely.)
