

CS61A Fall 2003 Midterm 2, Clancy/Hilfinger**Problem 1 (4 points, 5 minutes)***Part a*

Fill in the blanks below to complete the next-higher procedure. Given a grade A, B, C, D, or F, next-higher returns the next higher grade; the next higher grade for A is A.

```
(define (next-higher grade)
```

```
  (cadr
```

```
    (assoc
```

```
      grade
```

```
      _____)))
```

Part b

A *grading policy* is a procedure that takes as argument a list of scores and returns a letter grade. Define a procedure named *generous* that, given a grading policy as an argument, returns a grading policy that awards a grade one higher than the argument policy would give. Use the next-higher procedure from part a.

Problem 2 (4 points, 7 minutes)

Consider the following procedure.

```
(define (exam a)
```

```
  (let ((b 9))
```

```
    (lambda (c)
```

```
      (let ((d 11))
```

```
        (set! a (+ a 1))
```

```
        (set! b (+ b 2))
```

```
        (set! c (+ c 3))
```

```
        (set! d (+ d 4))
```

```
        (list a b c d) ) ) ) )
```

Fill in the blank with the output that `stk` would produce.

```
STk> (define f (exam 7))
```

```
f
```

```
STk> (f 5)
(8 11 8 15)
STk> (f 1)
```

Problem 3 (6 points, 7 minutes)

Suppose the second and third arguments to the call to `lookup-variable-value` in `eval-1` are accidentally exchanged as follows, with no other changes to the program:

```
((eq? kind 'symbol)
 (lookup-variable-value exp outer-env inner-env))
```

Give a sequence of expressions whose effect in the Scheme-1 interpreter would differ in the modified version from its effect in the original version. Also indicated how the Scheme-1 interpreter would handle the expressions you provide, and explain how and why their behavior in the modified code would differ.

Problem 4 (7 points, 10 minutes)

Consider a procedure named `find` that's given two arguments,

- an `x` (which may be of any type), and
- a table whose elements have the form `(list pred_k val_k)`, where `pred_k` is a one-argument predicate and `val_k` is any value.

`find` searches the table for the first `pred_k` for which `(pred_k x)` is true, and then returns `val_k`. If `(pred_k x)` is false for all `pred_k` in the table, `find` returns `#f`. Three examples appear below.

```
STk> (define tbl1 (list (list integer? 'a) (list symbol? 'b)))
tbl1
STk> (find 17 tbl1)
a
STk> (find 'mike tbl1)
b
STk> (find '(a b) tbl1)
#f
```

Implement `find` using a single call to `accumulate` or `reduce`. Use a lambda expression rather than a named procedure for the argument to `accumulate` or `reduce`, and use descriptive names for its parameters.

(define (find x table)

(_____ ; accumulate or reduce goes here
; arguments go here

Problem 5 (7 points, 12 minutes)

An *integer range* represents a sequence of consecutive integers. It is represented by a two-element list whose first element is the first integer in the sequence and whose second element (a non-negative integer) is the number of integers that follow in the sequence. Some examples:

<i>integer range</i>	<i>sequence represented</i>
(9 4)	9, 10, 11, 12
(-3 5)	-3, -2, -1, 0, 1
(1 1)	1
(4 0)	empty sequence

Define a procedure named `expanded` that, given a possibly infinite *stream* of integer ranges as argument, returns the stream of integers that results from expanding all the integer ranges into the sequences they represent. This stream may contain duplicate values; for example, if `int-range-stream` is defined to be the stream containing the ranges (1 1), (-3 5), (4 0), and (9 4)), then `(expanded int-range-stream)` should return the stream containing the integers 1, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 9, 10, 11, 12. You may use auxiliary procedures.

Problem 6 (10 points, 18 minutes)

One often sees novice Scheme programmers code a test as `(if expr #t #f)` where merely saying `expr` would suffice. The fixed procedure below is intended to replace all occurrences of `(if expr #t #f)` by `expr`; fill in the blanks to complete the procedure. Assume that the argument expression is anything recognized by the Scheme-0 interpreter.

Your solution shouldn't do any evaluating. For example, you shouldn't simplify the expression `(if (> a 0) (quote #t) #f)`. Your solution must, however, handle nested expressions. For instance, it should return `#t` when given the argument

```
'(if (if #t #t #f) (if #t #t #f) (if #f #t #f))
```

```
(define (fixed expr)
  (cond
    ; base cases
```

```
((eq? (car expr) 'if)
```

(else

Problem 7 (1 point extra credit)

According to the Belgians, playing what musical instrument requires "a strong back, a weak mind, and freedom from gout"?